PROBABLE MAXIMUM PRECIPITATION, OREGON STORMS

Bill Kappel Senior Meteorologist/Vice President Ed Tomlinson, PhD Chief Meteorologist/President Applied Weather Associates, Monument, CO

www.appliedweatherassociates.com



2013 Oregon Dam Safety Conference February 26, 2013 Wilsonville, OR



PMP Definition

The *theoretically* greatest depth of precipitation for a given duration that is *physically possible* over a given storm area at a particular *geographic location* at a certain time of year (HMR 59, 1999)



PMP Background

- Types of PMP studies:
 - Generalized (Hydrometeorological Reports)
 - Provides PMP values for a region
 - HMR 57 Columbia River, Snake River, and Coastal drainages
 - Regional/Statewide
 - Provide PMP values over regions with varying topography
 - Individual basins are included in the results
 - Site-Specific
 - Provides PMP values for individual drainage basins
 - Considers unique meteorology and topography



Coverage of HMRs



AWA PMP Studies

- Site-specific/statewide PMP values used instead of HMR values to compute the PMF
- PMP studies have produced significant reductions
 - Individual basins
 - Statewide regions
 - Large regions
- AWA site-specific and statewide PMP studies have been accepted by appropriate regulators
 - State Dam Safety, Federal Energy Regulator
 Commission (FERC), Natural Resources
 Conservation Service (NRCS), etc



Do PMP Studies Provide Improved PMP Values?

- More storms considered
- New technologies used
- Problems/Unknowns in the HMRs corrected
- Topographic features addressed
- Updated climatologies used
- Conservatisms relaxed where data supports



Background-HMR 57

- HMR 57-Published in 1994
 - Storm database old
 - Based on outdated methods and techniques
 - Subsequently been improved
 - Better understanding of meteorology
 - Updated datasets
 - Improved spatial analysis
- Major issues with HMR 57
 - Improper handling of orographic effects
 - Inconsistent use of storm data used to develop the PMP values
 - Covers a widely varying region
 - Climatologically/Topographically
 - Several calculation errors corrected



How Does AWA Compute PMP?

Storm Based Approach

- Similar to HMR/WMO procedures
- Deterministic-but there is uncertainty
- Maintain consistency with AWA PMP studies
 - Improvements in understanding
 - Expanded database
 - Use of computer technologies
 - Use of NEXRAD weather radar
 - Better understanding of meteorology

Not Our First PMP Study



Method for Computing PMP Values

- Identify unique topography
 - Precipitation enhancement/decrease
 - Orographics
 - Effects on rainfall center location
 - Physically possible storm centering/orientation
- Review HMR procedures used
 - Identify inconsistent assumptions
 - Apply new technologies and data
 - Apply new/updated methods



AWA Storm Search Domains

Storm Search Domains



Storm Locations Analyzed by AWA

West of the Continental Divide

SPAS Storm Locations - West of Continental Divide



Updating PMP-Storm Analysis

- Storm Precipitation Analysis System (SPAS)
 - Depth-Area-Duration
 - Mass Curves
 - Storm Isohyetal
 - Hourly (5-minute rainfall) at 1/3rd square mile
 - Dynamically adjusted radar and/or basemap for spatial interpolation



SPAS Storm Analysis Results



Total Rainfall (96hours) Lewis River, WA 1996 Storm Storm #1055 February 5 (0800 Z) to 9 (0800 Z), 1996







Storm 1055 - Lewis River February 5 (0800 Z) - 9 (0800 Z), 1996

MAXIMUM AVERAGE DEPTH OF PRECIPITATION (INCHES)

	Duration (hours)													
Area (mi²)	1	2	3	4	5	6	12	24	36	48	72	Total		
0.33	1.36	2.55	3.21	3.81	4.22	4.74	7.21	11.34	14.76	18.98	26.66	31.11		
1	1.30	2.41	3.13	3.73	4.09	4.58	7.02	11.07	14.38	18.54	25.92	30.21		
10	1.19	2.21	2.83	3.48	3.91	4.36	6.52	10.69	14.01	17.97	25.20	30.09		
25	1.09	2.03	2.63	3.24	3.68	3.98	5.78	10.11	13.30	17.26	24.22	29.11		
50	0.98	1.88	2.42	2.96	3.43	3.71	5.59	9.66	12.51	16.62	23.28	28.09		
100	0.87	1.67	2.17	2.64	2.94	3.36	5.27	9.25	11.85	15.94	22.44	26.77		
150	0.78	1.50	2.01	2.39	2.76	3.13	5.00	8.96	11.76	15.35	21.98	25.89		
200	0.77	1.33	1.85	2.28	2.70	3.04	4.89	8.79	11.37	14.73	21.35	25.17		
300	0.67	1.11	1.70	2.15	2.41	2.82	4.69	8.51	10.91	14.21	20.13	24.02		
400	0.66	1.10	1.60	2.02	2.32	2.66	4.32	8.23	10.57	13.48	19.27	23.11		
500	0.62	1.08	1.49	1.88	2.21	2.58	4.31	8.01	10.44	13.19	18.83	22.32		
1,000	0.52	0.87	1.18	1.60	1.99	2.32	3.99	7.11	9.23	11.75	16.69	20.32		
2,000	0.42	0.77	1.09	1.49	1.80	2.02	3.62	6.15	8.52	10.72	14.90	18.15		
5,000	0.34	0.59	0.85	1.21	1.48	1.70	3.10	5.27	7.28	9.28	12.61	15.10		
10,000	0.26	0.51	0.71	0.91	1.16	1.34	2.52	4.47	6.09	7.76	10.93	12.92		
20,000	0.17	0.32	0.44	0.58	0.73	0.88	1.71	3.29	4.48	5.90	8.55	10.14		
26,853	0.13	0.25	0.37	0.49	0.61	0.73	1.37	2.50	3.68	4.62	6.91	8.22		

How Does AWA Compute PMP?

- Each storm maximized
 - Make it as big as physically possible
 - Storm rainfall = dynamics + moisture
 - Can't quantify dynamics, can quantify moisture
 - Assume most efficient storm dynamics
 - Only moisture varies
 - Use surface dew points or SST for maximization
- Determine moisture which fed the storm = fuel
- Ratio: climatological maximum moisture to actual storm moisture = in-place maximization factor



How Did We Compute PMP?

- Move maximized storms to each grid/basin centroid
- Account for differences in moisture and elevation
- Calculate the Orographic Transposition Factor
 - Uses Precip Frequency-WSDOT or NOAA Atlas 14
 - Difference between source and target location
- OTF-Quantifiable/Reproducible
 - •Replaces HMR SSM, K-Factor
 - Highly subjective
 - Not reproducible
- Results in total adjustment factor
- Apply to the DAD values



General Storms

Atmospheric Rivers aka Pineapple Express

Atmospheric Rivers



Atmospheric Rivers

From http://www.esrl.noaa.gov/psd/amrivers/





From http://www.esrl.noaa.gov/psd/atmrivers/



Pacific Northwest, November 2006

Rainfall Center More than 35

Inches!



Rainfall Centers

More than 35 Inches!

Pacific Northwest, November 2006

	Storm 1052 - Lewis River November 2 (0800 Z) - 9 (0800 Z), 2006															
					MAXIMUI	AVERA	GE DEP	TH OF P	RECIPIT	ATION (II	NCHES)					
								Duration	n (hours)							
Area (mi ²)	1	2	3	4	5	6	12	18	24	36	48	72	96	120	144	Total
0.33	1.69	3.07	4.27	5.32	6.28	7.06	12.20	16.45	20.36	24.19	26.82	29.61	34.84	38.18	39.42	39.83
1	1.60	2.97	4.18	5.20	6.19	6.92	12.15	16.26	20.16	23.95	26.59	29.40	34.58	37.93	39.17	39.59
10	1.48	2.74	3.82	4.68	5.60	6.34	11.30	15.17	18.88	22.38	25.12	28.03	33.21	36.57	37.80	38.25
25	1.30	2.42	3.38	4.21	4.96	5.74	10.30	14.11	17.33	20.90	23.29	26.33	31.05	34.51	35.81	36.29
50	1.23	2.21	2.90	3.53	4.32	5.05	9.37	12.71	15.69	19.01	21.39	24.46	29.06	32.47	33.66	34.26
100	1.10	1.98	2.59	3.22	3.84	4.41	8.20	11.33	14.22	17.36	19.71	22.42	26.58	30.15	31.67	32.18
121	0.98	1.90	2.52	3.12	3.69	4.25	7.88	10.84	13.81	16.87	19.01	21.81	26.04	29.74	31.13	31.59
135	0.98	1.86	2.45	3.08	3.55	4.22	7.62	10.74	13.50	16.69	18.88	21.31	25.64	29.40	30.84	31.24
150	0.97	1.81	2.40	3.03	3.54	4.16	7.56	10.66	13.22	16.36	18.62	21.24	25.46	29.03	30.51	30.91
200	0.97	1.63	2.24	2.88	3.41	3.97	7.18	10.01	12.74	15.66	17.78	20.25	24.51	27.98	29.46	29.92
300	0.82	1.54	2.11	2.64	3.12	3.75	6.75	9.35	12.01	14.72	17.08	19.55	23.15	26.58	27.81	28.42
475	0.72	1.39	2.05	2.57	3.06	3.50	6.35	8.93	11.17	13.99	15.91	18.43	21.77	24.94	25.98	26.59
500	0.71	1.36	2.03	2.56	3.03	3.48	6.29	8.87	11.03	13.87	15.91	18.18	21.56	24.45	25.92	26.38
1,000	0.66	1.23	1.82	2.24	2.70	3.20	5.66	8.00	10.15	12.61	14.52	16.62	19.54	22.21	23.27	23.62
2,000	0.56	1.06	1.60	2.06	2.44	2.88	4.97	7.19	9.00	11.25	12.95	14.93	17.44	19.48	20.36	20.91
5,000	0.44	0.82	1.23	1.61	1.91	2.30	3.98	5.77	7.12	8.86	10.31	12.03	14.12	15.87	16.59	17.00
9,838	0.32	0.59	0.85	1.11	1.36	1.62	2.79	3.98	4.87	6.05	7.09	8.41	9.90	11.27	11.90	12.10
2					SPAS 1	052 St	orm Ce	enter M	ass Cu	irve Zo	ne 3					
				1	Novom	hor 2 (1	180071	to 9 (09	2007)	2006 6	form					
					NOVEIII			10 9 (00	1002	-						- 4
						Lat:	47.905	Lon: -	121.49	5					39.83"	
.5 -																+ 3
-																
																+ 3
(s																
like									n							+ 2
1 <u>u</u>											1					
<u>,</u>									. 11	100						+ 2
ti										IIIIInn	. dli					
ita										AUUUUUU.,						+ 1
<u>i</u>		1		1		_										
			00	nn							l IIIn	n A A	n			+ 1
•		_0 .0				- AAN 00			AUTIN		In IIII.					
					l	~~ ^	ſ		╷║║║║║║║		IIIIIIIII na	UUUnnAnAA.	nilla			+ 5
	. n A AnAn				n n_ r		n., .	. "-					IIII 0n0 -	1		
0 ++++	البالم ومعرفها علامه	עיהיהיהיהיהי	מ _ו טויטייטיטיטיטיטיטיט	ָרָר <mark>ון רָרָאַ ערָערָערָערָערָערָערָערָערָערָ</mark>	רטיטיים, מימירעין אין אין אין א	,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0	ابلايلايلايميم وبمبعا	_{⊨⊨a} o,0,0, _{−ini=} 0,0	ע _ו טוטוטוטוט, איז איז איז א	נו, ע _ו ע, עו, עו, עו, עו, עו, עו, ע	,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0	U,U,U,U,U,U,U,U,U,U,U,U,U,	ייטיטיטיאלאראיאיאיא	מיטיטיטיטיטיטיטיטיט איייאישיטיטיטיטיטיט	, <mark>- 10101010101010101</mark> 01	⊢⊫⊨ ₽ <mark>₽,-,=,=</mark> ↓ (
0	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100	110 ·	120 1	30 1	140 1	50 1	60



Thunderstorms-Flash Floods

HMR 57 Local Storms

	T	able 1	1.1M	lajor L	ocal Stor	ms - Pacific	Northwe	est	
Location	Lat	N ,	Lon	W,	Elev. (feet)	Date	Dur. Min.	Amount (in.)	Reference
Birch Creek, OR	45	20	118	55	3000	6/22/38	20	2.50	Riedel, et al., 1966
Skykomish 1ENE, WA	47	42	121	22	1030	5/25/45	30	1.78	Schaefer, 1989
Girds Creek, OR	44	40	120	10	4000	7/13/56	30	4.00	Riedel, et al., 1966
Simon Ranch, ID	43	15	114	45	5000	7/21/56	20	2.50	Riedel, et al., 1966
Knapp Coulee, WA	47	49	120	08	1500	8/15/56	5-10	1.50	Hendricks, 1964
Winthrop, WA	48	20	120	11	1755	7/29/58	60	3.00	Private communication
Castle Rock, WA	46	16	122	55	43	8/23/63	12	0.90	NCDC, 1963
Meridian, ID	43	37	115	25	2600	6/21/67	12	2.75	Rostvedt, 1972
John Day, OR	44	25	118	53	3200	6/9/69	180	7.00	Reid, 1975
Heppner, OR	45	20	114	33	2500	5/25/71	20	3.00	Bauman, 1980
Reynolds Creek, ID	43	15	116	45	3700	7/21/75	5	0.80	USDA, 1975
Aberdeen 20 NNE, WA	47	16	123	42	440	5/28/82	45	2.30	NCDC, 1982
BORDERING AREA		1			-		<u> </u>		,
Morgan, UT	41	03	111	38	5150	8/16/58	60	6.75	Riedel, et al., 1966
Elko, NV	40	50	115	47	5080	8/27/70	60	3.47	NCDC, 1970
Opal, WY	41	45	110	15	6900	8/16/90	120	7.00	Private communication

SPAS Storm Analysis Results Elko, NV August, 1970



Total Precipitation (72-hours) SPAS 1250 - Elko, NV 8/26/1970 0800 GMT - 8/29/1970 0700 GMT







Storm 1250 - August 26 (800 UTC) - August 29 (700 UTC), 1970 MAXIMUM AVERAGE DEPTH OF PRECIPITATION (INCHES)

		Duration (hours)											
Area (mi ²)	1	2	3	4	5	6	12	18	24	36	48	72	Total
0.3	3.84	4.09	4.38	4.58	4.58	4.58	4.59	4.59	4.59	4.66	4.68	4.68	4.68
1	3.78	4.00	4.25	4.46	4.46	4.46	4.46	4.46	4.46	4.55	4.55	4.55	4.55
10	3.70	3.90	4.22	4.41	4.41	4.41	4.42	4.42	4.42	4.44	4.44	4.50	4.50
25	3.57	3.75	4.05	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.26	4.26	4.26	4.26	4.26	4.34	4.34
50	3.45	3.65	3.93	4.11	4.11	4.11	4.12	4.12	4.12	4.14	4.15	4.19	4.19
100	3.26	3.46	3.71	3.88	3.88	3.88	3.88	3.88	3.88	3.95	3.95	3.96	3.96
150	3.09	3.26	3.49	3.53	3.53	3.53	3.70	3.70	3.70	3.70	3.70	3.77	3.77
200	2.93	3.11	3.31	3.46	3.46	3.46	3.52	3.52	3.52	3.56	3.56	3.59	3.59
300	2.68	2.85	2.98	3.21	3.21	3.21	3.23	3.23	3.23	3.23	3.25	3.30	3.30
400	2.47	2.62	2.69	2.96	2.96	2.96	2.98	2.98	2.98	2.98	3.00	3.05	3.05
500	2.30	2.43	2.61	2.75	2.75	2.75	2.77	2.77	2.77	2.82	2.83	2.83	2.83
1,000	1.70	1.82	1.90	2.11	2.11	2.11	2.12	2.12	2.12	2.12	2.19	2.22	2.22
2,000	1.26	1.36	1.42	1.57	1.57	1.57	1.59	1.59	1.59	1.59	1.63	1.64	1.64
5,000	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.90	0.90	1.00	1.02	1.02	1.02	1.06	1.08	1.16	1.16
10,000	0.48	0.57	0.59	0.63	0.64	0.65	0.70	0.70	0.70	0.71	0.74	0.74	0.74
12,573	0.37	0.45	0.47	0.50	0.51	0.53	0.56	0.56	0.56	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60

9/10/2012

SPAS Storm Analysis Results Morgan, UT, August 1958



Total Precipitation (72-hours) SPAS 1248 - Morgan, UT 8/15/1958 0800 GMT - 8/18/1958 0700 GMT



112°W



Storm 1258 - August 15 (800 UTC) - August 18 (700 UTC), 1958 MAXIMUM AVERAGE DEPTH OF PRECIPITATION (INCHES)

		Duration (hours)										
	Area (mi²)	1	2	3	4	5	6	72	Total			
1	0.3	7.08	7.09	7.09	7.10	7.10	7.10	7.10	7.10			
	1	6.91	6.91	6.91	6.92	6.92	6.92	6.92	6.92			
	10	6.73	6.74	6.74	6.74	6.74	6.74	6.74	6.74			
	25	6.39	6.39	6.39	6.39	6.39	6.39	6.40	6.40			
	50	5.87	5.87	5.88	5.88	5.88	5.88	5.88	5.88			
	100	5.01	5.02	5.02	5.02	5.02	5.02	5.04	5.04			
	150	4.39	4.40	4.41	4.42	4.42	4.42	4.42	4.42			
	200	3.92	3.93	3.93	3.93	3.93	3.93	3.97	3.97			
n Í	300	3.27	3.28	3.29	3.29	3.29	3.29	3.33	3.33			
	400	2.87	2.88	2.88	2.89	2.89	2.89	2.91	2.91			
	500	2.59	2.60	2.60	2.60	2.61	2.61	2.70	2.70			
	1,000	1.83	1.83	1.83	1.83	1.83	1.83	1.83	1.83			
	2,000	1.37	1.39	1.39	1.39	1.39	1.39	1.45	1.45			
	5,000	0.42	0.45	0.48	0.49	0.49	0.49	0.60	0.60			
	5,804	0.35	0.37	0.40	0.41	0.41	0.41	0.47	0.47			

8/24/2012

111°W

Heppner, OR 1903



What About Oregon?

 Build of PMP work at Lewis River, Scoggins Dam, Baker River, Chelan

- Leverage off storm lists/analyses
- Significant cost/time savings
- Same structure/products as Arizona and Wyoming
 - PMP for any point in state
 - Updateable, flexible, user friendly
 - Regulator confidence and understanding
 - Involvement of stakeholders from beginning



What About Oregon?

•Return On Investment (ROI) very high • Often pays for itself right away Lower Rehab cost Lower Construction costs Reclaimed Opportunity Costs Flood protection Storage capacities •Operational availability



Summary

- Storm based and reproducible
 - Ability to consider site-specific characteristics
- Higher confidence in results/data
- Significant cost savings
 - Properly sized spillways
 - Infrastructure not overbuilt
- PMP study produces updated/reliable values
 - PMP values for any point
 - Developed using the most current methods and data available



QUESTIONS

Bill Kappel 719-488-4311

billkappel@appliedweatherassociates.com

www.appliedweatherassociates.com

